

ATFAB Minutes 4.4.17

6:30pm - 7:30pm

Attendance:

Chair - Hanna Johnson

Agricultural Sciences - N/A

Business - Giovanni Chavez

Engineering - Michael Quinn

Health/Human Sciences - Remy Altman

Liberal Arts - Emily Taylor

Natural Resources - Katjaa Hahn (absent)

Natural Resources substitution- Matt Edrich

Natural Sciences - Adam Wilson

CVMB - Zachary Vaishampayan

Undeclared - Kalyn O'Bryne (absent)

Graduate - Kevin Waida

At Large #1 - Eddie Kendall (absent)

At Large #2 - Rudy Chavez

Advisor- Aaron Fodge

Guests: Mike Lensky- ASCSU VP and SFRB Chair

Agenda Items:

SFRB Recommendations from VP Mike Lensky

Budget Proposal Discussion

Mike Lensky: Question of investment pool; we needed to give a minimum funding pool- part of the purpose of this board; this year 11 areas asked for fee increases; \$21.20 increase was too high for SFRB it went above the cap that the board is comfortable with; looked at the \$16 fee increase, but ATFAB has never had full free reign, SFRB wanted to make sure that the board could handle all money. \$500,000 seemed like too much. \$250,000 seemed like too little. SFRB proposed \$375,000 (or 7.06 per full time on

campus student). If certain rules and criteria are met, SFRB will recommend the next year's SFRB to look at full increase via fiscal notes. This has happened before, and SFRB followed through on fiscal notes. SFRB just wants to see that ATFAB will work. SFRB wants to ensure that ATFAB's procedures will work. ATFAB can come back to SFRB next year and then propose a total fee of \$40.

Aaron Fodge: ATFAB will create a procedure and then present this to SFRB when they "tour" our board.

Mike: Next steps:

1. Accept the lower investment pool or not
2. First vote next week
 - a. Will likely be a good indicator of SFRB's decision
3. Second vote the week after to completely approve this

Kevin: I think this is a really generous offer. SFRB's decision is pretty solid. We can definitely make

Giovanny: Its a great first step so the board can

Adam: Agreed. They're being reasonable with what theyre allowing

Mike: I'm not opposed to

Matt: I think this is a really good deal for us since we're so new. That being said I think \$375,000 will go far.

Zack: We're within our range of what we originally wanted to get.

Emily: I agree. I'm just wondering now where we go moving forward.

Aaron: We need to estimate these projects in 2017 dollars.

Emily: What happens if we go with a project and it is over budget?

Aaron: I think we'll know that. The first vote is to deem that the project is worthy and follows bylaws. The Second vote will be with updated info. Inflation is very real. You're gonna want an accurate 2017 number.

Remy: I think that this follows our original range. This is a great start.

Zack: I move that we report to SRFB to a little over \$375,000

Kevin: Seconded

Unanimously passed