



**Colorado State University  
Alternative Transportation Fee Advisory  
Board 2017-2018**

Monday April 9, 2018  
ASCSU Conference Room  
**Approved**

Recorded by: CJ Ash

I. Call to Order

II. Attendance

Name	Title	Affiliation	Present?
Aaron Fodge	Advisor	Parking/Transport	Yes
Aaron Buckley	Advisor	Parking/Transport	Yes
Brian Grube	CFO	Parking/Transport	Yes
Hanna Johnson	Chairperson	ASCSU	Yes
Jordan Schlitzer	Representative	Engineering	Yes
Madelyn Royal	Representative	ASCSU At Large	Yes
CJ Ash	Secretary / Representative	ASCSU at Large	No
Josh Lindell	Representative	Liberal Arts	Yes
Sara Godfrey	Representative	Business	Yes
Zachary Vaishampayan	Representative	CVMBS	Yes
Wendell Stainsby	Vice-Chair	Graduate	Yes
Dillon Meehleis	Representative	HHS	Yes
Adam Wilson	Representative	Natural Sciences	Yes
Kalyn O'Byrne	Representative	Undeclared	Yes
Matt Edrich	Representative	Warner	Yes
Unfilled	Representative	Ag Sciences	No
Matt Edrich	Representative	Warner	Yes

III. Approval of Minutes from Last Meeting

a. Approved? Y/N

#### IV. Open Items

Item 1: Opening remarks

- A. Fodge congratulates group on projects
- B. Fodge and Wendell leaving for PSFAC early

Item 2: Title

<Discussion>

#### V. New Business

Item 1: Project Ratings

- Wendell (Grad/Vice Chair)- Feels good about green trail and spoke (as top 2), Spoke will probably not get full ask. Would like to see SkiSU expand.
- Dillon (HHS)- Spoke expansion would be helpful.
- Hanna (Chair)- Spoke could potentially work with less and still benefit from a partial funding
- Fodge (Advisor)- Partial funding is always an option. They could turn it down.
- Dillon- We could save a portion of our pool to pay for something next year. Right now most projects are more than our budget allows. Green trail extension could be important to knock out. Spend the rest helping spoke or saving.
- Josh (Liberal Arts)- The deal on Green Trail is hard to pass up. ASCSU, and others always bring up University Ave bikeway. As far as constituent representation this project is a priority.
- Fodge- Could answer any questions as far as study costs go.
- Josh- SFRB prefers that we spend money on current students instead of saving up.
- Green Trail extension is appealing. How much is recurring for SkiSU/Spoke? Initial? Do green trail, then prioritize Spoke for any leftover funding. SkiSU is a great program, we should also fund them at least partially.
- Hanna- Clarifies costs on Spoke and SkiSU.
- Matt (Warner)- Lets take this money and make a big splash with it. Most students off-campus live West of campus, which is important to focus on. (Green Trail would be done by September). As far as the rest of the funding, we need to increase the culture of sustainability on campus. The Spoke provides resources that students need, and they need more support. Maybe once all of campus knows about the Spoke they won't rely on

ATFAB. SkiSU provides a service to students, but not all students ski/snowboard.

- Zach- How much are we working with? (\$250,000). (Assesses Green Trail, Spoke, SkiSU costs). We could fund the Green Trail and a good chunk of the Spoke (coughs). It is important to show SFRB some early wins. If we need to ask for more, it will set us up in a good position if we can show that ATFAB shows immediate benefits to students. Green Trail, Spoke, SkiSU, and Spoke recurring costs show that we are working to benefit students.
- Sara (business)- I agree with Green Trail Extension. Numbers look great. SkiSU shouldn't be counted out yet. We are advocating for bikes, but not everyone rides bikes, so either way we are using student fees that not all students use.
- Kalyn- Green Trail. Fund SkiSU
- Jordan- Green Trail. As a student that didn't know what the Spoke was, it seems important to help them get their name out there. His council had a lot of support for SkiSU, so we should fully fund.
- Madelyn (At Large)- Green Trail, not SkiSU, Spoke yes

## Item 2: Final Debate over Projects

- Hanna- Everyone mentioned Green Trail, so it is clearly a priority.
- Matt- I don't think it is possible to get an estimate for skiers at CSU, but I would like to voice that the Spoke would be more beneficial to students than SkiSU. The line is always longer than necessary, they are short-staffed, and this would be a better program than a seasonal program like SkiSU.
- Josh- SkiSU supports non-students coming along for the bus rides. Student fees need to go to the students. Looking at our environmental and safety goals SkiSU does not meet these requirements. Many SkiSU students said that they would not have gone skiing if it weren't for SkiSU. This also does not benefit student safety the way that the Spoke does.
- Hanna, Jordan, Sara- Spoke also does not check IDs, is also open to public. Similar circumstance to SkiSU.
- Matt- SkiSU has solicited a bunch of groups on campus for funding. We are not the only ones being asked. Our bylaws may/may not state that we need to be focused first and foremost what happens on campus. SkiSU is

important, but not contained within the CSU campus, and therefore the Spoke should be a priority.

- Dillon- We should just fund SkiSU because of the small cost. The Spoke is super important as well, but the pop-up mobile tent is the primary concern.
- Jordan- Goes over costs for Spoke staffing. We could still fund them partially.
- Dillon- I don't think we should fully fund SkiSU. The Spoke is more impactful and needs the funding more.
- Sara- Advertising towards potential students. SkiSU is a big draw for potential students and allows our school to be more competitive.
- Zach- SkiSU is asking us for funding because they are not making enough money to be self-sufficient.
- Jordan- What can we do to help them become self-sufficient? Money for advertising.
- Josh- More bang for buck out of Spoke. SkiSU- if funded- should be totally funded or not at all. We should commit.
- Matt- Echoes Josh. Is this a recurring cost? If they are not on track to be self-sufficient, can we fund with stipulation that they need to put forth obvious effort towards getting other funding. This project is not as aligned with other projects. I think if we fund it for a year, we need to establish that they need to put in effort towards being self-sufficient.
- Zach- Even if they filled up every seat on every bus, they would still be in debt. They are not charging enough to bring people to the mountains. Unless they cut expenses or change ticket prices, they are not going to be self-sufficient. We should fund it with the condition of moving towards self-sufficiency. Not sure if they will ever get there. They should increase self-sufficiency. Not necessarily completely self-sufficient. This is a business but also a service.
- \_\_\_ - If they can break even with continued support, we should support them.
- \_\_\_ - They could look into partnerships? We should see how ridership is next year.
- Matt- It sounds like they would need to charge \$40, which is approximately a tank of gas. Any student that does the math, would not buy this. This model may not ever reach self-sufficiency.

- Hanna- Maybe that's why they are coming to us. They probably want to provide a service to students.
- Dillon- Our job is to improve campus life. These projects are not able to function on their own. We should subsidise SkiSU because there is a demand for it. It would be (wrong) to not fund them just because they are new.
- Sara- If the Spoke charged they'd lose a ton of their popularity as well. SkiSU is trying to solve a future problem.

### Item 3: Final Funding Debate

- Dillon-
- Josh- Spoke and Green Trail
- \_\_\_ - Green Trail
- Matt- Green Trail and Spoke
- Sara- Green Trail SkiSU Spoke
- Madelyn- Green Trail and Spoke

\*(Although the SkiSU program did not rank as highly as a few studies, it seemed more logical to fully fund a cheaper program that current students will benefit from, than partially fund a study that could take time to benefit students)\*

Item 4: Motion to fund Green Trail fully, fund SkiSU fully, fund Spoke mobile shop fully, and give remaining to other Spoke needs.

*Seconded*

*Passes*

-Brian (Advisor) asks us to come up with exact numbers:

\$33,232 to Spoke to prioritize Mobile shop and employee academic hours

Motion to fund Spoke up until their full need is satiated

*Seconded*

*Passes*

VI. Post Meeting Action Items

Action:	Assigned To:	Deadline:

VII. Motions Made

- **Motion 1- Approval of Minutes**
- **Motion 2- Motion to fund Green Trail fully, Fund SkiSU fully, fund Spoke mobile shop fully, and give remaining to spoke until their needs are satiated (\$33,232).**