Alternative Transportation Fee Advisory Board 2018-2019

March 25th, 2019 5:30pm Lory Student Center Room 304 **Draft** Recorded by: Andrea Fairfield

I. Call to Order

II. Attendance

Name	Title	Affiliation	Present?
Hanna Johnson	Chair	Chair	yes
Zach Vaishampian	Representative	ASCSU At Large	yes
Andrea Fairfield Gina McCrackin	Representative Associate	ASCSU At Large	yes no
Patricia Vail	Representative Associate	Ag Sciences	yes
Noah Fishman	Representative Associate	Business	no
Max Drummond	Representative Associate	CVMBS	yes
Jordan Schlitzer Michael Townsend	Representative Associate	Engineering	yes yes
Wendell Stainsby Michelle LaCrosse	Representative Associate	Graduate School	yes yes
Miguel Ojeda	Representative Associate	HHS	yes
Josh Lindell	Representative Associate	Liberal Arts	yes
Adam Wilson	Representative Associate	Natural Sciences	yes
Kalyn O'Byrne	Representative Associate	Undeclared	yes
Dominique Ashe	Representative Associate	Warner College	yes

Colorado State University

III. Approval of Minutes from Last Meeting

a. Approved? Y

Motion to approve: Josh Lindell Second: Michael Townsend

IV. Final Decision Discussion: Regarding FLEX and Greeley Transit

- a. repercussions of not funding?
 - i. we don't get access to these services
- b. Increases
 - i. \$3.15 -1.50 is mandatory for an increase in fee
 - ii. increases are also to make sure that we have our fund balance
- c. NF-likes the greeley route and not too much of a financial agreement. There are a lot of people who might go to CSU if it was more affordable to get to campus. FLEX helps a lot of people and it's well established.
- d. ZV-FLEX is a good idea and should continue. Greeley is good too
- e. MD- Greeley route is a good idea because it will also go through the city of Windsor. Flex is good too because it's nice to be able to say that we can get to Denver from Fort Collins. Access to Greeley bus system is nice but not necessary
- f. AW-He/She likes both and the fee increase isn't ridiculous.
- g. JS-Big support of both and from the college they are associated with.
- h. MT- Falls in line with the goals of ATFAB and allows people to avoid driving. Don't cut FLEX
- i. PV-Echos what everyone else is saying. Interwoven transportation is really important especially for affordable housing. Doesn't see a problem with either.
- j. JL-Likes both and there is a lot of ridership for each.
- k. KO-There is not any negatives and it's a no-brainer to fund both especially for the price.
- I. JS-Agreed
- m. MO-Agreed

n. DA-Increasing fees aren't necessarily the best idea but everyone else has said he/she is in agreement with.

V. <u>Questions/Comments</u>

- a. How does SFRB choose what to fund and what not to fund?
 - i. They don't usually have a cap, but they don't like fee increases.
 Last year there was a cap of 3% because of the governor.
 Mostly based off of if the fee increase is a good decision or not.
 1.8% increase if everyone got what they asked for based on their January budgets. No competition for fee increases.
- b. What are the buses like?
 - i. CNG-compressed natural gas.
- c. Are the Greeley buses going to be the same as the FLEX ones?
 - i. will use buses like the BUSTANG
 - ii. no use of the MAX track

VI. Post Meeting Action Items

Action:	Assigned To:	Deadline:

Motions Made:

- 1. Motion to approve both the Greeley and FLEX routes and the budget as written currently.
 - a. Jordan Schlitzer
 - b. second: unanimous