



Alternative Transportation Fee Advisory Board 2019-2020

March 9, 2020 5:30pm
LSC Room 388B

Draft

Recorded by: Kalyn

I. Call to Order

II. Attendance

Name	Title	Affiliation	Present?
Patricia Vail	Chair	Chair	yes
Sophie Hinnen Sophia Shepp	Representative	ASCSU At Large	yes
Alyssa Melvin	Representative Associate	ASCSU At Large	yes
Bo Collins	Representative Associate	Ag Sciences	no
Noah Fishman	Representative Associate	Business	yes
Drew Wilson	Representative Associate	CVMBS	no
Michael Townsend Jordan Schlitzer	Representative Associate	Engineering	yes yes
Michelle LaCrosse	Representative Associate	Graduate School	no
Rebecca Rathburn Emily Capbell	Representative Associate	HHS	yes yes
Jake Sappwood	Representative Associate	Liberal Arts	yes
Tom Miller	Representative Associate	Natural Sciences	yes
Kalyn O'Byrne	Representative	Undeclared	yes



Tara Davis Paul Barker	Associate		yes
Lucas Bunger Mark Kohn Helen Flynn	Representative Associate	Warner College	yes yes yes

III. Approval of Minutes from the Last Meeting

IV. Open Items

Discussion of Projects and Funding

- A. Tara- our ranking is similar to the masters but we have bradien bike shelters last and rams ride right second to last. We don't want to fund braiden bike shelters and partially fund rams ride right. and possibly cut facilities project if we need to a little bit. Don't think the solar power will add much.
- B. Helen, Mark, Lucas- Rams ride right and bradien are switched from the master scoring.
- C. Lucas- Braiden bike shelter doesn't feel as much about the bikes. More about showing off to people
- D. Helen- Solar powers aren't guaranteed which takes away some of the environmental benefit
- E. Sophia- Sophie spoke highly of the spoke and facilities project. Her scores align with the ranking and what she wants funded.
- F. Jacob- Big difference between master and the rest of the groups for Amy Van Dyken Way. Doesn't think students will adapt very well. Doesn't like the parking would come first over a dual way biking lane. Thought spoke and monfort quad looked good. Hard to quantify how effective rams ride right is.
- G. Noah- Order is a little different from master, Thinks he might score braiden lower now after seeing other projects. Was think braiden could go pacific



H. Rebecca- Scored Similar to master sheet, thought facilities request was good and fair, maybe would change environmental score for amy because of parking, rams ride right we don't have evidence showing how effective it is

I. Emily- Agree with rebecca on rams ride right, thinking the parking is fine

J. Alyssa- wasn't here for all of the presentation, liked the proposal but thinks for what's being proposed its not worth the money don't think we should fund unless it covers more bikes, like spoke and skisu a lot

K. Tom- Wants to change bike shelter scores and drop it, had issues with skisu and its equity but liked the environmental benefit, rams ride right had some major issues with how they don't have quantifiable evidence it works, loves the spoke and think it aligns well with the the board is about, Monfort quad huge thing for safety, amy had some concerns but still liked the project and wish there was a dual lane but understands taking away parking would be an issue

L. Jordan- Facilities, spoke, and SkiSU fully support, braiden bike shelters feel like they need to start somewhere even though it's small, think it's worth it in the long term, rams ride right seemed like they were trying to expand without any reason support funding it but not completely,

M. Michael- Liked the covered bike shelters think it's a good place to get started, college council did not like rams ride right, SkiSU personally don't like it think it to small of a community to help, facilities would maybe change amy van dyken way based on his college council thinks it would help promote safety better, Monfort quad if the university needs a fire lane they will find a way to fund it

N. Kalyn- rams ride right decrease even more, braiden bike shelter increase

Michael motioned to approve scores as they are, jake seconded, voted all in favor

A. Helen asked aaron if they would find other funding because of a firelane

a. aaron's not totally sure, thinks they would want funding on both sides but we would need to ask facilities

B. Jordan what happened when we don't completely fund it

a. arron isn't sure, we would need to ask facilities

Next Question we need to consider is how we go about funding



- A. Options for funding: fund it from top down, option 2 flat percentage of all funded so all could be funded aka partially funding every project, option 3 descending percentage
- B. Michael- monrfort quad is a big ask, maybe take funding away from that and give it to others
- C. Jacob- think the same thing for monfort quad, think we should fund rams ride right but at their ask last year

V. New Business

VI. Post Meeting Action Items

Action:	Assigned To:	Deadline:

VII. Motions Made

- **Motion 1- Approval of Minutes -**
- **Motion 2- Motion to Adjourn -**