



Colorado State University
Alternative Transportation Fee Advisory
Board 2020-2021

September 13, 11:00 AM
Online
Approved
Recorded by: Tara Davis

I. Call to Order

II. Attendance

Name	Title	Affiliation	Present?
Michael Townsend	Chair	Chair	x
Sophia Shepp	Representative	ASCSU At Large	x
	Representative Associate	ASCSU At Large	
Bo Collins	Representative Associate	Ag Sciences	
Noah Fishman	Representative Associate	Business	x
	Representative Associate	CVMBMS	
	Representative Associate	Engineering	
Alyssa Melvin	Representative Associate	Graduate School	x
Rebecca Rathburn Anna Lamport	Representative Associate	HHS	
Jake Sappwood	Representative Associate	Liberal Arts	
	Representative Associate	Natural Sciences	
Tara Davis Paul Barker	Representative Associate	Undeclared	x
Lucas Bunger Mark Kohn	Representative Associate	Warner College	x x

Approval Date: <Date or DRAFT>

Helen Flynn	Associate		x
-------------	-----------	--	---

III. Approval of Minutes from Last Meeting

- a. Approved? Y/N
 - i. Approved

IV. Open Items

1. Motion to approve last two meetings
 - a. Motion was approved
2. **Announcements:** Shift Campaign with FOCO

V. New Business

- a. Noah went over the new financial outlook for this year
- b. Nicole is writing an article for the collegian and wants to know if we want to include any funded projects and asked where our budget is going
- c. Michael decided to review the bylaws and asked if anyone had any questions or comments
 - i. Nicole asked what exactly the bylaws and Mark did a short explanation of what they include
 - ii. There was a motion made to confirm the bylaws and the motion was passed
- d. Michael then decided to review the scoring criteria
 - i. Michael described what the scoring sheet is for and Mark described the categories for the scoring criteria
 - ii. Mark expressed his concern with the project aesthetics criteria stating that maybe we should turn the project aesthetics into a yes or no answer and we can allocate the 5 points elsewhere
 1. Sophia agrees with Mark
 2. Michael believes that we should move the project aesthetic's 5 points to the 2nd criteria
 3. Sophia proposes it as a tiebreaker almost
 4. Tara was worried about the weight of the yes or no
 5. Helen thinks that the project criteria should stay

6. Aaron Fodge thinks that part of project aesthetics should consider whether or not the project has an ATFAB contributed to this sign
7. Noah thinks that the 5 points is kind of a yes or no and does make a difference
8. Noah proposed that as a part of project aesthetics there should be a section that states whether or not they are willing to acknowledge ATFAB
 - a. Vote was 4 yeses and 3 nos and it passes

VI. Post Meeting Action Items

Action:	Assigned To:	Deadline:
Change project aesthetics	Executive board	

VII. Motions Made

- **Motion 1 - Approved minutes**
- **Motion 2 - Approved minutes for past two meetings**
- **Motion 3 - Confirmed bylaws**
- **Motion 4 - Passed motion to change project aesthetics to make part of the score to include whether or not they will acknowledge ATFAB**
- **Etc.**