



Colorado State University Alternative Transportation Fee Advisory Board 2021-2022

March 21, 2022

LSC 312

Approved

Recorded by: Helen Flynn

I. Call to Order - 5:30pm

II. Attendance

Name	Title	Affiliation	Present?
Mark Kohn	Chair	Executive Board	
Helen Flynn	Vice Chair		X
Micheal Townsend	Financials		X
Grace Feuerborn	Secretary		
Adam Vance	Representative	ASCSU At Large	X
Ken Kinneer	Representative	ASCSU At Large	X
XX	Representative	Ag Sciences	
Rob Long	Representative	Business	X
Tatum Flatt	Representative	CVMBBS	X
Colin Hill	Representative	Engineering	X
Micheal Needham	Representative	Graduate School	X
Meghan Scaggs	Representative	HHS	X
Jacob Pendergast	Representative	Liberal Arts	X
David Wise	Representative	Natural Sciences	
XX	Representative	Undeclared	

Lucas Bunger	Representative	Warner College	X
--------------	----------------	----------------	---

III. Approval of Minutes from Last Meeting

IV. Open Items

- Michael:
 - explain spreadsheet with funding asks to board
 - several scenarios
- discussion of processes
 - how we score, how ranks impact funding

Rams Ride Right:

- Adam: highly ranked, comfortable with full funding
- Rob: highly ranked, great presentation, full funding
- Ken: deducted points for being unsustainable
- Tatum: not full points because I believe the premise of the project is not effective
- Meghan: accessible, equitable, but not necessarily effective, possibly change incentive
- Michael N: low ranking, poor marketing, model is not super effective, visual enforcement
- Michael D: indirect positive from seeing CSUPD in a positive (?) setting
- Lucas: less beneficial to older students, involving CSUPD is not necessarily helpful
- Colin: did not witness enough of project, but would not oppose funding
- Jacob: doesn't think incentive is useful or effective

SkiSU:

- Adam: good project, longer term funding is questionable, good access to outdoors, would like them to become more integrated with rec and less reliant on ATFAB
- Rob: good presentation and high quality project, would like to see fundraising/become more independent

- Ken: not fully sustainable (financially), good project, less of a lasting benefit, lacking environmental benefit
- Tatum: increases accessibility, only benefits people who ski/board, still a good project, full funding
- Meghan: agree with everyone else, electric bus would be an improvement
- Michael N: agree, sustainability is not amazing
- Lucas: great project, love it, good optics for CSU, important for culture and draws people in
- Colin: agree, longevity could be improved, does not benefit same # of students that it requires funds from
- Jacob: good project, access to outdoors, skiing is a big draw, some equity concerns: cost, accessibility

South Campus Bike Parking:

- Adam: very disappointed, no stats/numbers etc, unassured, wants more bike racks but this is a lot of money for an additional 20 bikes, not worth student funds, needs improvement
- Rob: nothing good to say, last one on my list, no figures, no numbers, great idea, not adequately researched presented
- Ken: don't completely agree with previous comments, presenter was a member of the faculty, most of cost is concrete for parking
- Tatum: decent ranking, but hesitations are valid
- Maeghan: agree
- Michael N: least favorite project, presenter needed new quote, so ask was not even accurate

note from Aaron: facilities approved project and funding request

- Michael D: disagree with previous comments, vet program is important, we should support those students, could improve the experience of those students, addition of solar panel on top could create double use in the future, vet hospital is a community presence, people use this facility besides students, raises public opinion
 - Michael N: this is a student fee board, not supposed to help community, presenter did not do a good job of saying how this would help students
 - Ken: these buildings are huge, many students are there

- Lucas: let's stay away from the idea that "this will not help the students in my college", ultimately this funding impacts everyone, I'm from Warner but I can still support a given project, let's be more conscious of who we are representing
- Jacob: although that is true, we should still consider
- Lucas: there are other bike shelters on campus that are heavily used, just ok project
- Colin: too low of quantity, but not opposed
- Jacob: bike everyday, shelters are super helpful, most liberal arts students will not benefit

V. New Business

VI. Post Meeting Action Items

Action:	Assigned To:	Deadline:
Finish filling in the project scoring sheet	everyone	

VII. Motions Made

- **Motion 1- Approval of Minutes**
- **Motion 2 - approve**
- **Etc.**