



Colorado State University
Alternative Transportation Fee Advisory
Board 2021-2022

April 04, 2022
LSC 312
Approved

Recorded by: Grace Feuerborn

I. Call to Order - 5:30pm - approved

II. Attendance

Name	Title	Affiliation	Present?
Mark Kohn	Chair	Executive Board	x
Helen Flynn	Vice Chair		x
Micheal Townsend	Financials		x
Grace Feuerborn	Secretary		x
Adam Vance	Representative	ASCSU At Large	x
Ken Kinneer	Representative	ASCSU At Large	x
XX	Representative	Ag Sciences	x
Rob Long	Representative	Business	0
Tatum Flatt	Representative	CVMBBS	x
Colin Hill	Representative	Engineering	x
Micheal Needham	Representative	Graduate School	x
Meghan Scaggs	Representative	HHS	x
Jacob Pendergast	Representative	Liberal Arts	x
David Wise	Representative	Natural Sciences	x

XX	Representative	Undeclared	0
Lucas Bunger	Representative	Warner College	x

III. Approval of Minutes from Last Meeting

- quorum
- meetings minutes approved

IV. Open Items

- Spoke and Trail had resubmissions
- U-lock and security camera locations and costs have been included in the original pricing of the proposal

Proposal Discussion Prior to Motions:

Ken: Is the additional funding for The Spoke not dependent on the original project?

Helen: We have to fund the first proposal of The Spoke in order to approve the additional funding.

Aaron Fodge: The Phemister Trail has a contingency for both proposals: the first one is for full-fledged funding for a bridge and the additional money as a match for the trail. The new proposal is \$73,907 plus the additional 146k to equal a new total of \$219,117.

Motions:

- 1. Adam Vance makes a motion to finalize the rankings and to begin conversation about it. Jacob seconds the motion.**
 - a. 6:04pm final scoring sheet. recorded.
- 2. Colin Hill made a motion scale down south campus covered bike parking to one covering, which would be around \$50,000.**
 - a. Adam seconds the motion and facilitates conversation. I disagree and agree and want to talk about opinions.

- b. Adam: I am not opposed to funding the project but we could still fund 51% of that project, and this was one of the most specific ones I did not agree with because of the safety for students. I do not think students should be using this trail and I think we could still fund the project and we can award most of the money. I would like to see the most amount of money benefit the faculty and students at CSU.
 - c. Michael: If we do not fund number 10 and fund 9 at 70% then we have 45k leftover which we could fund one more covered bus shelter instead. if we nix the number 10 then we could move around other money for other proposals.
 - d. David: We could not fund the proposal at all since we do not agree with the project.
 - e. Mark: We can fully fund 9/10 objects. In terms of finances and ethics, we fully fund everything until we can't. We are fully funding everything until number 10.
 - f. David withdrew the motion. Michael seconds if necessary.
- 3. Ken: I motion that we do not fund the statistics alley aspect improvements at all, and just fund the first nine projects.**
- a. Adam seconds that motion.
 - b. Discussion:
 - i. Ken: My reasoning is that I do not think it is practically scaleable. If we look at what the project entails and its goal in terms of materials because I think if we were to partially fund it even to a large extent, there might be some sort of safety component missing from that partial funding. For example, the delineators: if we partially fund it then those might be left out of the funding.
 - ii. Aaron: With the leftover money we choose to put it into the next year's balance, other improvements, etc.
 - c. Mark: Your idea is not to fund because partial funding would present it as a partial project in your opinion. You think it is better to have a full project or a partially funded project that may result in safety concerns. I think we have determined that this project is of low priority. We could allocate funds for scalable projects that we deem of higher priority, we might roll over the money.

- d. David: I think that we should partially fund it because it saves us from having to meet the 35k ask. Another point is do you know if they have another location to add shelters? I think that we should give the remaining 21k to use for another shelter, if they choose. We also have to make sure that the safety features are provided if they choose to use the money.
- e. Helen: If David Hansen rejects the money then the money will go towards an additional bus shelter.
- f. David: I am proposing this condition - the money will go towards an additional bus shelter.
 - i. They asked for 3, up to 5, covered bus shelters.
- g. Adam: Just to clarify, we would do 50% funding for the statistics alleyway bike track correct?
- h. Michael T: Why are we funding the statistics alleyway if we do not like it?
- i. Mark: It is ranked last and not on the priority list. There are several scores that have higher rankings that still mark it with high scores.
- j. Jacob: I think we should use as much of the money as we can as inflation goes up with the economy.
- k. Michael: General comment - I think it may be helpful to go faster if we put check marks next to what we definitely want to fund. Once we entertain this motion, I think we should begin going down the line.
- l. Helen: If they cannot build this project safely with partial funding, they will not go through with the project because it is not safe. If the university deems it unsafe, they will not pursue it with partial funding. If we give them partial funding, and David H can find funding elsewhere, they may build it though.
- m. Ken: This is the lowest scoring project and lack of enthusiasm for the project. Even if we look at potential costs, we should also consider potential benefits as well and that is something that I brought up in our last discussion. There was a lack of tangible benefits to that.
- n. Lucas: I do not think the university will allow them to build it, if they say no, I think that we could give that money to someone else.

- o. Adam: I agree with Lucas' comment. I would rather see money going towards covered bus shelters rather than the alleyway.
 - p. Meghan: I like the idea of putting money towards the additional bus shelters since that helps a significant amount of the CSU population, and I also agree offering partial funding to the alleyway proposal. The alley is already narrow as is.
 - q. Tatum: I agree with Meghan's comment in which I think providing money to additional bus shelters would be more beneficial.
 - r. Ken: All of that could go to covered bike shelters since there is more room for scalability there.
 - s. Michael: If it comes down to 10 or more bus shelters. unless you cut funding from somewhere else, we will be sending a letter to David Hansen that they can use the partial funding to this (bus shelters) or this (alleyway).
 - t. Mark: We do not have to give the money to David Hansen. If we were to not fund number 10 that refers to Statistics/Physics Alleyway Access Improvements. We could either give it to David Hansen or number 9.
 - u. Taken to a vote:
4. Michael N.: I would like to motion to approve the first two ranked projects:
- a. Michael: These were our two top ranked projects and I think students like The Spoke and utilize it and it should be fully funded.
 - b. Adam: I second that motion.
 - c. David: Motion to fully fund projects 3-7 according to our ranking including SkiSU, Covered bus shelters, Rams Ride Right, etc.
 - d. Colin: I second that motion.
 - e. David: I think they are all universally liked and the only non-scalable one would be the covered bus shelters, and we want to scale that up. So I think we should vote it in as is.
 - f. Colin: We can always add more funding to it, but we are agreeing now to fully fund it.
5. **Adam: I would like to motion to fully fund the last two projects and with the remaining funds, allocate them towards the scaling up of covered bus shelters.**
6. David: I second that.

- a. Colin: Could we propose a backup for the partial funding in case that does not work?
- b. Jacob: If the covered bus shelters deny remaining funds can we try and allocate that money to fund 4 more cameras for bike security and education as a backup plan?
 - i. Mark: That should be opened up for another motion.
 - ii. Helen: They could say no, so I think that is a valid proposal.
 - iii. **If they deny the additional funding for bus shelters, then the remaining funds get proposed to the bike security and education, and if they decline then the money should be kept in funds for ATFAB next year.**
- c. Colin: Would you rather the money go to covered bus shelters?
- d. Micahel: Could we grant the funding to the first highest scalable project, and if they do not choose to utilize the money, then propose the funding to the next highest scalable project?
- e. Helen: He did not ask for more money because he has the 5 locations and money for the cameras, and may not need the \$20,000.
- f. Adam: I agree that we should fund the highest scalable projects. I think if we would give him additional funding, he would be able to utilize it for funding, but if he is not given it, and would not change his plan.
- g. Helen: David Hansen showed us the specific two locations to get additional bus shelters. So there are further potential options.
- h. How do you all feel about running the money from highest to second highest scalable ranks and further.
- i. Adam: I would like to amend the motion to incorporate first trying to fund the highest scalable project and next scalable project.
- j. Michael: One more amendment saying that any funds leftover goes to ATFAB fund balance.
- k. Adam: I further amend to include the ATFAB balance funding as well.
- l. Final Motion: **I would like to motion to fully fund projects 8 and 9 and allocate the remaining funds to the projects based on the two highest ranked scalable projects starting with additional covered bus shelters and continuing on to additional bike security cameras.**

The remaining funds if all projects decline, should go back into the ATFAB fund balance.

- m. **Motion to fully fund projects 8 and 9 and allocate the remaining funds to the projects based on the two highest ranked scalable projects starting with additional covered bus shelters and continuing on to additional bike security cameras. The remaining funds if all projects decline, should go back into the ATFAB fund balance.**
 - n. I would like to amend it in terms of using specific language of how much money we have left which would be \$22,643.83. My reason behind that is to now also amend that if one of the 1-7 projects that has been approved denies the funding, then that money can be used in a different project or added into the fund.
 - o. **Motion to fully fund projects 8 and 9 and allocate the remaining funds of \$22,643.83 to the projects based on the two highest ranked scalable projects starting with the additional covered bus shelters or additional bike security cameras. The remaining funds of \$22,643.83, if the two highest scalable projects both decline, should go back into the ATFAB fund balance.**
 - i. Make a motion to approve
 - p. I think we should make a separate clause discussing that if any of them deny it then we have to decide if we want the money saved or go into another project. I believe that the \$21,000 is very different from the other prices.
 - q. We are restarting the motion Adam made that will include separate contingencies.
 - r. Adam rescinds motion
7. New Finalized Motion
- a. Michael: I would like to motion to fully fund motions 8 and 9.
8. **Grace: I motion to allocate the remaining funds of \$22,643.83 to the highest ranked scalable project first starting with the additional covered bus shelters. If they decline, then the funding will be proposed to the second highest scalable project of additional bike security cameras.**

- a. **Michael seconds that**
 - b. no comment/discussion
9. **Grace: I motion that If both of these two highest scalable projects decline the additional funding of \$22,643.83, then this remaining funding shall be returned to the ATFAB fund balance.**
10. **Michael seconds that.**
11. Ken discussion- if both of these projects decline, that does not have anything. I withdrew my comment.
12. David: Could we tell the projects that if new prices cause you to not be able to fully fund your project, please contact us if you need additional funding. As protection from inflation and stuff.
13. Mark: I think we should include that in future project proposal requirements.
- Mark: We could fund every project and their additional project, and then we can offer David Hansen partial funding for the route.

V. New Business

- one more virtual meeting next week: recruitment for next year and propose executive board positions
- certificates of completion and letters of recommendation (Aaron Fodge or Mark Kohn)
 - student government

Motion - To solidify the rankings for the motion to approve the scoring sheet. The scoring sheet is now final records.

Name	Title	Affiliation	In favor? - all in favor of first motion
Mark Kohn Helen Flynn Micheal Townsend Grace Feuerborn	Chair Vice Chair Financials Secretary	Executive Board	tie breaker yes ob yes
Adam Vance	Representative	ASCSU At Large	yes
Ken Kinneer	Representative	ASCSU At Large	ob
XX	Representative	Ag Sciences	n/a
Rob Long	Representative	Business	n/a
Tatum Flatt	Representative	CVMBBS	x - yes
Colin Hill	Representative	Engineering	x - ob
Micheal Needham	Representative	Graduate School	x -ob
Meghan Scaggs	Representative	HHS	x - yes
Jacob Pendergast	Representative	Liberal Arts	x- yes
David Wise	Representative	Natural Sciences	x - yes
XX	Representative	Undeclared	n/a
Lucas Bunger	Representative	Warner College	x - yes

Motion Passed - Statistics/Physics Alleyway - No Funding to Number 10

Name	Title	Affiliation	In favor?
Mark Kohn Helen Flynn Micheal Townsend Grace Feuerborn	Chair Vice Chair Financials Secretary	Executive Board	tie breaker yes ob. yes
Adam Vance	Representative	ASCSU At Large	yes
Ken Kinneer	Representative	ASCSU At Large	yes

Approval Date: <Date or DRAFT>

XX	Representative	Ag Sciences	n/a
Rob Long	Representative	Business	absent
Tatum Flatt	Representative	CVMBS	x - yes
Colin Hill	Representative	Engineering	x - no
Micheal Needham	Representative	Graduate School	x -yes
Meghan Scaggs	Representative	HHS	x - yes
Jacob Pendergast	Representative	Liberal Arts	x- no
David Wise	Representative	Natural Sciences	x - no
XX	Representative	Undeclared	n/a
Lucas Bunger	Representative	Warner College	x - no

- 7 yes's
- 4 no's
- 1 abstention
- motion passed

Motion to Approve the first two ranked projects: The Spoke and The Spoke's Additional Funding

Name	Title	Affiliation	In favor? - all in favor of this motion to approve the top two ranked projects

Mark Kohn	Chair	Executive Board	tie breaker
Helen Flynn	Vice Chair		y
Micheal Townsend	Financials		ob
Grace Feuerborn	Secretary		y
Adam Vance	Representative	ASCSU At Large	y
Ken Kinneer	Representative	ASCSU At Large	y
XX	Representative	Ag Sciences	n/a
Rob Long	Representative	Business	absent
Tatum Flatt	Representative	CVMBS	y
Colin Hill	Representative	Engineering	y
Micheal Needham	Representative	Graduate School	y
Meghan Scaggs	Representative	HHS	y
Jacob Pendergast	Representative	Liberal Arts	y
David Wise	Representative	Natural Sciences	y
XX	Representative	Undeclared	n/a
Lucas Bunger	Representative	Warner College	y

- 11 yes
- 1 abstention
- 0 no's
- motion passed

Motion: To approve projects 3-7 on rankings to be fully funded.

Name	Title	Affiliation	In favor? - all in favor of first motion
-------------	--------------	--------------------	---

Mark Kohn	Chair	Executive Board	tie breaker
Helen Flynn	Vice Chair		y
Micheal Townsend	Financials		o
Grace Feuerborn	Secretary		y
Adam Vance	Representative	ASCSU At Large	yes
Ken Kinner	Representative	ASCSU At Large	y
XX	Representative	Ag Sciences	
Rob Long	Representative	Business	n/a
Tatum Flatt	Representative	CVMBBS	x - yes
Colin Hill	Representative	Engineering	x - yes
Micheal Needham	Representative	Graduate School	x -yes
Meghan Scaggs	Representative	HHS	x - ues
Jacob Pendergast	Representative	Liberal Arts	x- y
David Wise	Representative	Natural Sciences	x - y
XX	Representative	Undeclared	n/a
Lucas Bunger	Representative	Warner College	x - yes

- 11 yes's
- 1 abstention
- 0 no's
- motion passed

Motion to fully fund projects 8 and 9

Name	Title	Affiliation	In favor? - all in favor of first motion
-------------	--------------	--------------------	---

Mark Kohn	Chair	Executive Board	tie breaker
Helen Flynn	Vice Chair		y
Micheal Townsend	Financials		o
Grace Feuerborn	Secretary		y
Adam Vance	Representative	ASCSU At Large	yes
Ken Kinner	Representative	ASCSU At Large	y
XX	Representative	Ag Sciences	
Rob Long	Representative	Business	n/a
Tatum Flatt	Representative	CVMBBS	x - yes
Colin Hill	Representative	Engineering	ob
Micheal Needham	Representative	Graduate School	x -yes
Meghan Scaggs	Representative	HHS	x - yes
Jacob Pendergast	Representative	Liberal Arts	x- y
David Wise	Representative	Natural Sciences	x - y
XX	Representative	Undeclared	n/a
Lucas Bunger	Representative	Warner College	x - yes

- 10 yes's
- - 2 abstention
- - 0 no's

Motion to

Name	Title	Affiliation	In favor? - all in favor of first motion
Mark Kohn	Chair	Executive Board	tie breaker
Helen Flynn	Vice Chair		y
Micheal Townsend	Financials		o
Grace Feuerborn	Secretary		y
Adam Vance	Representative	ASCSU At Large	yes

Approval Date: <Date or DRAFT>

Ken Kinneer	Representative	ASCSU At Large	y
XX	Representative	Ag Sciences	
Rob Long	Representative	Business	n/a
Tatum Flatt	Representative	CVMBBS	x - yes
Colin Hill	Representative	Engineering	x - yes
Micheal Needham	Representative	Graduate School	x -yes
Meghan Scaggs	Representative	HHS	x - ues
Jacob Pendergast	Representative	Liberal Arts	x- y
David Wise	Representative	Natural Sciences	x - y
XX	Representative	Undeclared	n/a
Lucas Bunger	Representative	Warner College	x - yes

- 11 yes's
- d
- d

motion to allocate the remaining funds of \$22,643.83 to the highest ranked scalable project first starting with the additional covered bus shelters. If they decline, then the funding will be proposed to the second highest scalable project of additional bike security cameras.

Name	Title	Affiliation	In favor? - all in favor of first motion
Mark Kohn	Chair	Executive Board	tie breaker
Helen Flynn	Vice Chair		y
Micheal Townsend	Financials		y
Grace Feuerborn	Secretary		yyes

Adam Vance	Representative	ASCSU At Large	yes
Ken Kinneer	Representative	ASCSU At Large	ob y
XX	Representative	Ag Sciences	n/a
Rob Long	Representative	Business	n/a
Tatum Flatt	Representative	CVMBS	x - yes
Colin Hill	Representative	Engineering	x - ob
Micheal Needham	Representative	Graduate School	x yy
Meghan Scaggs	Representative	HHS	x - yes
Jacob Pendergast	Representative	Liberal Arts	x- yes
David Wise	Representative	Natural Sciences	x - no
XX	Representative	Undeclared	n/a
Lucas Bunger	Representative	Warner College	x - yes

- 1 abstention
- 1 no
- 10 yes's

Motion that If both of these two highest scalable projects decline the additional funding of \$22,643.83, then this remaining funding shall be returned to the ATFAB fund balance.

Name	Title	Affiliation	In favor? - all in favor of first motion
Mark Kohn Helen Flynn Micheal Townsend Grace Feuerborn	Chair Vice Chair Financials Secretary	Executive Board	tie breaker ob y yes
Adam Vance	Representative	ASCSU At Large	yes
Ken Kinneer	Representative	ASCSU At Large	y
XX	Representative	Ag Sciences	n/a
Rob Long	Representative	Business	n/a
Tatum Flatt	Representative	CVMBBS	x - yes
Colin Hill	Representative	Engineering	x - ob
Micheal Needham	Representative	Graduate School	x y
Meghan Scaggs	Representative	HHS	x - yes
Jacob Pendergast	Representative	Liberal Arts	x- yes
David Wise	Representative	Natural Sciences	x - y
XX	Representative	Undeclared	n/a
Lucas Bunger	Representative	Warner College	x - yes

- 10 yes's
- 2 absentions

Motion: Any denied funds besides what we hav considered to go back to the ATFAB fund balance.

Name	Title	Affiliation	In favor? - all in favor of first motion
------	-------	-------------	--

Approval Date: <Date or DRAFT>

Mark Kohn	Chair	Executive Board	tie breaker
Helen Flynn	Vice Chair		ob
Micheal Townsend	Financials		y
Grace Feuerborn	Secretary		yes
Adam Vance	Representative	ASCSU At Large	ob
Ken Kinner	Representative	ASCSU At Large	ob
XX	Representative	Ag Sciences	n/a
Rob Long	Representative	Business	n/a
Tatum Flatt	Representative	CVMBBS	ab
Colin Hill	Representative	Engineering	ab
Micheal Needham	Representative	Graduate School	yes
Meghan Scaggs	Representative	HHS	x - yes
Jacob Pendergast	Representative	Liberal Arts	x- no
David Wise	Representative	Natural Sciences	x - yes
XX	Representative	Undeclared	n/a
Lucas Bunger	Representative	Warner College	x - yes

- 5 ab's
- 6 yes's
- 2 no's
- motion passed

VI. Post Meeting Action Items

Action:	Assigned To:	Deadline:
Online meeting next week - virtual	everyone	next monday

VII. Motions Made

- **Motion 1- Approval of Minutes**
- **Motion 2 - approve**
- **Etc.**