

Colorado State University Alternative Transportation Fee Advisory Board Academic Year: 2023-2024

> Date of Meeting: <u>4/8/2024</u> Ram's Horn Conference Room Recorded by: <u>TH</u>

I. <u>Call to Order – 5:30pm</u>

II. <u>Attendance</u>

Name	Title	Affiliation	Present?
David Wise	Chair	Executive Board	Yes
Zachary Cramton	Vice Chair	Executive Board	Yes
Cady Gebhart	Financials	Executive Board	Yes
Trevor Hale	Secretary	Executive Board	Yes
Haydyn Deason Sofia Hiller	Representative Alt	ASCSU At Large	Yes
Evan Welch	Representative	ASCSU At Large	Yes
Valerie Capone Connor Lay	Representative Representative / Alt	Ag Sciences	Yes
Braden Russell XX	Representative Representative / Alt	Business	No
Rachel Hernandez James O'Brien	Representative Representative / Alt	CVMBS	Yes
Derek Campbell Bryce Anderson	Representative Representative / Alt	Engineering	Yes
Justin Hudson Megan Franke	Representative Representative / Alt	Graduate School	Yes
McAllister Johnson XX	Representative Representative / Alt	HHS	No
Alexandra Zega XX	Representative Representative / Alt	Liberal Arts	No
Rachel Jacks Omar Soliman	Representative Representative / Alt	Natural Sciences	Yes
XX XX	Representative Representative / Alt	Undeclared	No
Alex Gibbs Link Warren	Representative Representative / Alt	Warner College	Yes

Has Quorum Been Reached? Yes 🛛 / No 🗌

"Quorum shall consist of seven (7) voting members". <u>ATFAB Bylaws, Article V, Section 5</u>

"Voting members shall consist of student members of undergraduate college councils, a student member from the Undeclared Leadership Council, a student member from the Graduate School Council, and two student-at-large members. Associate members shall not have voting rights unless the primary representative from their area of affiliation is not present to vote." ATFAB Bylaws, Article III, Section 1

III. Open Items

- 1. Approve Minutes from Previous Meeting
 - i. Motion by... Trevor
 - ii. Seconded by... Justin
 - iii. Approved by unanimous vote
- **2.** Announcements from Haydyn
 - i. ASCSU Environmental Affairs events in April
 - Showing of Kiss the Ground documentary April 9th LSC 373 @ 6:30pm
 - 2. Greeks Go Green volunteer event April 13th 11am-1pm
 a. Ask Haydyn to sign up
- 3. SMTD wellness week ATFAB outreach
 - **i.** ATFAB is setting up a table at the UCA 4/11 9-11am to engage with SMTD students and get feedback for the shuttle service
 - **ii.** Ask Cady for more info

IV. New Business

- a. SFRB is pushing the UCA shuttle vote back for next week
- b. If we are going to go for the grant funding for transit shelters, we need to decide tonight how much we are contributing.
- c. Gilette Drive vs. Corridor Infrastructure Discussion
 - i. Engineering: corridor infrastructure should be rated higher than Gilette, but Gillette is great.
 - ii. Engineering: Seconded. The streetlight and beacon are great but it also needs a speed table to be even better.
 - iii. ASCSU Sofia: Gilette is really important and serves an important community.

- iv. ASCSU Haydyn: Gilette should be #4. Safety is more important than other things.
- v. ASCSU Evan: Gilette should be #4.
- vi. Ag Science: Gilette and Corridor are both important, but Gillette is better because it addresses an issue directly.
- vii. CVMBS: I like Gillette. Not a fan of more speed tables on Pitkin and Hughes anyway
- viii. Chair: Like Gillette because it's well planned, and it will be immediate and permanent.
 - ix. Vice chair: it's important to follow the ranking scheme we set. Overall, the ranking is good where it is now.
 - x. Finance: Gillette is great because it's immediate. Corridor will serve more people but take longer. It has a higher cost benefit ratio and for that I like it more. The corridor also has matched grant funding which is huge plus.
 - xi. Grad School: Corridor will have more impact on more students
- xii. Grad school: it's hard to juggle immediate benefit and longterm benefits. In this case, I think we should focus long-term on investment.
- d. Open discussion after motion 1
 - i. Engineering: how much would be cut from transit shelters if we fund Gillette and Corridor?
 - 1. We can fund both and still fund Transit
 - ii. Motion 1 passes—Gilette is #4
- e. Mobility Charging
 - i. Motion 2 to strike funding
 - ii. We will send out a notification telling them why we denied their proposal.
- f. Corridor infrastructure vs pavement markings discussion
 - i. Natural Science: Corridor is better
 - ii. Grad school: safety is more important than clarification via markings
 - iii. Warner: Seconded

- iv. Finance: Seconded
- v. Vice chair: We should try to fund pavement markings because we identified it as a problem at the beginning of the year and they made the whole proposal because of that.
- vi. Chair: I favor the pavement markings if there's money because it benefits students now rather than later.
- vii. CVMBS: pavement markings don't do a good enough job clarifying things, so I ranked corridor improvement over pavement markings
- viii. Ag Science: Seconded
 - ix. ASCSU Evan: Corridor could save lives and decrease risk, while Pavement Markings probably won't as much.
 - x. ASCSU Haydyn: If we fund Pavement over Corridor, we won't have to fiddle with transit funding and partially fund it.
 - xi. Engineering: Corridor is great as #5
- xii. Open discussion after motion 3
 - 1. Grad school: we should consider Pavement as #5 because we as a group prefer it over Corridor. Less volatility in the scores. We asked for Pavement Markings in the fall.
 - a. ASCSU Evan: yes we did ask for it, but we also didn't know what else was going to be proposed
 - b. Warner: if CSU is going for vision zero goal, then Corridor should be #5 because it aligns with vision zero.
 - c. Finance: I agree with both points. Yes we asked for Pavement Markings. Yes Corridor Infrastructure aligns better with vision zero. Pavement markings help prevent bike-ped collision while the corridor improvements prevent car-ped crashes which are more fatal.
 - d. Warner: if we don't fund the markings, that's not great for our credibility
- g. Funding left available after last meeting

- i. Brain: We can fully fund everything we have left on the table (Transit Shelters, Gilette, Corridor, and Pavement)
- ii. Open discussion on transit shelters
 - 1. Natural Science: out of all years we should fund it now because of the possibility for a grant match.
 - 2. Warner: Agree, it needs funding now. We should strike out the Libbie Coy Way shelter that would only see 2,000 riders a year
 - a. Finance: all the shelters are a part of the CSU master plan. They will keep coming to us every year until all of them are built. If we get the grant funding, we can knock all of those at once. If we throw one out, it'll just need funding later
 - b. Warner: retract statement
 - 3. Engineering: if SFRB comes back and says we need to contribute funding toward the shuttle, can we rescind motions to move around funding to get enough funding for that?
 - a. Jamie & Chair: the transit shelters are more pressing because of the grant deadline, however changes could be made. Changing motions & allowing SFRB to tell us how to spend money is setting a bad precedent. SFRB's job is not to tell us how to distribute funding, they just make sure we are responsible in how we do that.
 - 4. Chair: If we fully fund Corridor, we should take the money from Transit because it has the most flexibility.
- iii. Motion 4 to use all the funding tonight
 - Grad school: I agree with the motion. Our discretionary funding doesn't work well for funding stable salaries. I don't want anyone to have to make that decision to fire someone because we ran out of funding.
 - 2. Warner: Didn't we have a back up plan for the shuttle should the fee increase fail?

- a. Vice chair: our plan B was to cut one shuttle. They haven't asked about anything to that effect. They are concerned with if we can use discretionary funding now to reduce the fee increase burden for the next five years. That concern side cuts our plan B.
- iv. What does the budget/numbers look like
 - 1. Brian: We can fund all the projects in full and still have some money to fund 1 year of the UCA shuttle
- v. Motion 5 to fund everything else
 - 1. ASCSU Haydyn: If we motion to fund everything now but something changes in the future, how will funding be allocated?
 - a. Pavement markings would get cut first because of how we ranked it. Things that are top ranked should get fully funded first before everything below it.
 - 2. Finance: With Brian's info, we can fund everything. However, if we fund one year of the shuttle, it would be entirely dependent on discretionary funding
 - a. Grad school: If we set that precedent, SFRB could continually ask us to fund things on our own, which leaves us very little for new projects in the future.
 - 3. Warner: by funding it for one year, we do get a sample of what it looks like and we can take that to SFRB as a case study.
 - 4. Natural Science: Can we work with SFRB to fund 3 years of the shuttle with our leftover funding + a smaller fee increase.
 - 5. Vote on motion 5. Motion passes
- II. Transfort is coming next week, so be prepared to talk about the contract,

V. Roll Call Votes:

Approval Date: 04/15/2024

"All members specified in Article III section 1 shall have one vote. Quorum must be present before a vote can be taken. Votes should be based on funding rules articulated in Article VII. A majority (more than half) of the present voting members must vote in the affirmative for an item to pass, else it fails." <u>ATFAB Bylaws, Article VIII, Section 1</u>

> "In the event of a tie, the Chairperson should break the tie with a vote." ATFAB Bylaws, Article VIII, Section 4

Motion to ... solidify Gilette Crossing as #4 in the project ranking Motion proposed by ... ASCSU Evan Seconded by... ASCSU Haydyn

Name	Title	Affiliation	Vote
David Wise	Chair (tiebreaker)	Executive Board	Vote
Zachary Cramton	Vice Chair	Executive Board	Yes
Cady Gebhart	Financials	Executive Board	No
Trevor Hale		Executive Board	No
	Secretary		
Haydyn Deason	Representative	ASCSU At Large	Yes
Evan Welch	Representative	ASCSU At Large	Yes
Valerie Capone	Representative	Ag Sciences	Yes
Connor Lay	Representative / Alt		105
Braden Russell	Representative	Business	
XX	Representative / Alt		
Rachel Hernandez	Representative	CVMBS	Yes
James O'brien	Representative / Alt		Tes
Derek Campbell	Representative	Engineering	No
Bryce Anderson	Representative / Alt		INO
Justin Hudson	Representative	Graduate School	Yes
Megan Franke	Representative / Alt		Tes
McAllister Johnson	Representative	HHS	
XX	Representative / Alt		
Alexandra Zega	Representative	Liberal Arts	
XX	Representative / Alt		
Rachel Jacks	Representative	Natural Sciences	A1
Omar Soliman	Representative / Alt		Abstain
XX	Representative	Undeclared	
XX	Representative / Alt		
Alex Gibbs	Representative	Warner College	No
Link Warren	Representative / Alt		INO
Result	The result of the motion is Passes		

Motion to ... deny funding for the Braiden Micromobility Charging Stations Motion proposed by ... ASCSU Haydyn Seconded by... Engineering

Name	Title	Affiliation	Vote
David Wise	Chair (tiebreaker)	Executive Board	
Zachary Cramton	Vice Chair	Executive Board	Yes
Cady Gebhart	Financials	Executive Board	Abstain
Trevor Hale	Secretary	Executive Board	Yes
Haydyn Deason	Representative	ASCSU At Large	Yes
Evan Welch	Representative	ASCSU At Large	Yes
Valerie Capone	Representative	Ag Sciences	Yes
Connor Lay	Representative / Alt		ies
Braden Russell	Representative	Business	
XX	Representative / Alt		
Rachel Hernandez	Representative	CVMBS	Yes
James O'brien	Representative / Alt		105
Derek Campbell	Representative	Engineering	Yes
Bryce Anderson	Representative / Alt		105
Justin Hudson	Representative	Graduate School	Yes
Megan Franke	Representative / Alt		103
McAllister Johnson	Representative	HHS	
XX	Representative / Alt		
Alexandra Zega	Representative	Liberal Arts	
XX	Representative / Alt		
Rachel Jacks	Representative	Natural Sciences	Yes
Omar Soliman	Representative / Alt		105
XX	Representative	Undeclared	
XX	Representative / Alt		
Alex Gibbs	Representative	Warner College	Yes
Link Warren	Representative / Alt		
Result	The result of the motion is Passes		

Motion to ... solidify the Corridor Improvements at #5 in project ranking Motion proposed by ... Engineering Seconded by... Natural Science

Name	Title	Affiliation	Vote
David Wise	Chair (tiebreaker)	Executive Board	
Zachary Cramton	Vice Chair	Executive Board	Yes
Cady Gebhart	Financials	Executive Board	Yes
Trevor Hale	Secretary	Executive Board	Yes
Haydyn Deason	Representative	ASCSU At Large	Yes
Evan Welch	Representative	ASCSU At Large	Yes
Valerie Capone	Representative	Ag Sciences	Yes
Connor Lay	Representative / Alt		res
Braden Russell	Representative	Business	
XX	Representative / Alt		
Rachel Hernandez	Representative	CVMBS	Yes

James O'brien	Representative / Alt		
Derek Campbell	Representative	Engineering	Yes
Bryce Anderson	Representative / Alt		1 es
Justin Hudson	Representative	Graduate School	Yes
Megan Franke	Representative / Alt		res
McAllister Johnson	Representative	HHS	
XX	Representative / Alt		
Alexandra Zega	Representative	Liberal Arts	
XX	Representative / Alt		
Rachel Jacks	Representative	Natural Sciences	Yes
Omar Soliman	Representative / Alt		165
XX	Representative	Undeclared	
XX	Representative / Alt		
Alex Gibbs	Representative	Warner College	Yes
Link Warren	Representative / Alt		i es
Result	The result of the motion is passes		

Motion to ... use discretionary funding tonight to fund projects we the projects in front of us (Transit Shelters, Corridor Improvements, Gilette Crossing, Pavement Markings) regardless of what SFRB decides. Motion proposed by ... Vice chair Seconded by...Natural Science

Name	Title	Affiliation	Vote
David Wise	Chair (tiebreaker)	Executive Board	
Zachary Cramton	Vice Chair	Executive Board	Yes
Cady Gebhart	Financials	Executive Board	Yes
Trevor Hale	Secretary	Executive Board	Yes
Haydyn Deason	Representative	ASCSU At Large	Yes
Evan Welch	Representative	ASCSU At Large	Yes
Valerie Capone	Representative	Ag Sciences	Yes
Connor Lay	Representative / Alt		1 65
Braden Russell	Representative	Business	
XX	Representative / Alt		
Rachel Hernandez	Representative	CVMBS	No
James O'brien	Representative / Alt		110
Derek Campbell	Representative	Engineering	Yes
Bryce Anderson	Representative / Alt		103
Justin Hudson	Representative	Graduate School	Yes
Megan Franke	Representative / Alt		1 65
McAllister Johnson	Representative	HHS	
XX	Representative / Alt		
Alexandra Zega	Representative	Liberal Arts	
XX	Representative / Alt		

Rachel Jacks	Representative	Natural Sciences	Yes
Omar Soliman	Representative / Alt		1 es
XX	Representative	Undeclared	
XX	Representative / Alt		
Alex Gibbs	Representative	Warner College	Yes
Link Warren	Representative / Alt		res
Result	The result of the motion is passes		

Motion to ... knowing that we have the funds to do so, fully fund Transit Shelters, Gillette Crossing, Corridor Improvements, and Pavement Markings, in that order.

Motion proposed by ... Engineering Seconded by...Warner

Name	Title	Affiliation	Vote
			Vole
David Wise	Chair (tiebreaker)	Executive Board	
Zachary Cramton	Vice Chair	Executive Board	Yes
Cady Gebhart	Financials	Executive Board	Yes
Trevor Hale	Secretary	Executive Board	Yes
Haydyn Deason	Representative	ASCSU At Large	Yes
Evan Welch	Representative	ASCSU At Large	Yes
Valerie Capone	Representative	Ag Sciences	Yes
Connor Lay	Representative / Alt		res
Braden Russell	Representative	Business	
XX	Representative / Alt		
Rachel Hernandez	Representative	CVMBS	Yes
James O'brien	Representative / Alt		res
Derek Campbell	Representative	Engineering	Yes
Bryce Anderson	Representative / Alt		Tes
Justin Hudson	Representative	Graduate School	Yes
Megan Franke	Representative / Alt		1 65
McAllister Johnson	Representative	HHS	
XX	Representative / Alt		
Alexandra Zega	Representative	Liberal Arts	
XX	Representative / Alt		
Rachel Jacks	Representative	Natural Sciences	Yes
Omar Soliman	Representative / Alt		res
XX	Representative	Undeclared	
XX	Representative / Alt		
Alex Gibbs	Representative	Warner College	Yes
Link Warren	Representative / Alt		res
Result	The result of the motion is passes		

VI. Meeting Adjourned